

SPARSITY 2019/20 COURSE HOMEWORK 2

MARCIN BRIAŃSKI

PROBLEM 1

Let \mathcal{C} be a somewhere dense graph class closed under taking subgraphs. Prove that the class \mathcal{C}' consisting of bipartite graphs belonging to \mathcal{C} is also somewhere dense.

Proof. During the tutorials, we established that whenever \mathcal{C} is a somewhere dense graph class, then for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find as a subgraph in some graph in \mathcal{C} an exact d subdivision of arbitrarily large clique (meaning each edge is subdivided exactly d times). If d is odd, we are done, as then this implies in \mathcal{C}' contains exact d subdivisions of arbitrarily large cliques (any cycle in this subdivision is even, thus it is a bipartite graph). Otherwise d is even, and find an exact d subdivision of a clique of size $t + \binom{t}{2}$. Now first t vertices of original clique will form branch vertices of our t clique, let the other branch vertices form the set A . We start constructing H as follows: for each pair of new branch vertices, say u and v , pick any $a \in A$ and add the path from u through a to v to the graph H (observe that this path is of length $2(d+1)$ and is thus even), and remove a from A . At the end of this process we will obtain a subgraph which clearly is a $2d+1$ exact subdivision of K_t , thus belongs to \mathcal{C}' and this concludes the proof. \square

PROBLEM 2

A graph G is k -planar, if there exists a drawing of G , such that

- every pair of edges intersect at no more than 1 point which moreover cannot be an endpoint of either of them (presumably applies to edges not sharing an endpoint),
- no three edges intersect at a single point,
- every edge intersects at most k other edges.

Prove that for any fixed k the class of all k -planar graphs has bounded expansion.

Proof. Fix a drawing of our graph G satisfying the conditions of k -planarity. Now consider a pair of crossing edges of our graph (say uv and xy). We may replace the crossing with a vertex — ie. add a vertex t to the graph incident to $\{u, v, x, y\}$ and remove the edges uv and xy from G while preserving the drawing (and the number of crossings drops by 1). Repeatedly apply this procedure as long as we can find a pair of crossing edges and call the resulting graph G' . Clearly G' is planar (as we removed all crossings). Now, I claim that G is a depth k congestion 2 minor of G' . To this end, fix any orientation of our graph G . Now for any vertex v define the branch set of v (call it $\phi(v)$) as follows: for each out-neighbour u of v , let t_1, \dots, t_l be the 'crossing vertices' added on the image of the edge \overrightarrow{vu} in the drawing (clearly $l \leq k$) and simply add them all to branch set of v .

Now each branch set $\phi(v)$ is a star (centred at v), and each vertex is at distance at most k from v . Moreover each vertex belongs to at most 2 different branch sets. Thus, let H be the graph modeled by ϕ . Clearly $G \subseteq H$, and H is a depth k congestion 2 minor of a planar graph, equivalently $G \in \mathcal{C}\nabla^2_k$ where \mathcal{C} denotes the class of all planar graphs, thus proving that k -planar graphs have bounded expansion. \square

PROBLEM 3

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all graphs with degree bounded by 3. Prove that, there is a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{wcol}_d(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2^{\varepsilon d}$.

Proof. During the lecture we established the following inequality

$$\nabla_d(G) \leq \text{wcol}_{4d+1}(G),$$

which when combined with the monotonicity of wcol (ie $r \leq s \implies \text{wcol}_r(G) \leq \text{wcol}_s(G)$) provides us with a constructive means of proving lower bounds for wcol — finding a dense shallow minor.

So, fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G be the graph consisting of $2^{d+1} + 1$ disjoint full binary trees, each of depth d exactly (call them T_i with the root r_i and the set of leaves $\{a_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq 2^d\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^{d+1} + 1\}$). We will be adding some edges to our graph, but we will maintain the invariant $\Delta(G) = 3$. For each pair (i, j) where $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2^{d+1} + 1\}$ and $i < j$ we will add an edge between some leaf of T_i and T_j . To each leaf we can add 2 edges without increasing the maximum degree, and each tree has got exactly 2^d leafs giving us 2^{d+1} possible ‘edge slots’.

So, we may find a map from the set $\{1, \dots, 2^{d+1} + 1\} \setminus \{i\}$ to the set of leaves of T_i in which each leaf is assigned to exactly two indices. Fix one such map for each i and call it f_i . Now, for each pair of indices $i < j$, add to G the edge between $a_{i,f_i(j)}$ and $a_{j,f_j(i)}$. Clearly the degree of each $a_{i,j}$ is now precisely 3, and there is exactly 1 edge between each pair of trees T_i . As the depth of each of these trees is exactly d , so is its radius. Thus letting H to be the minor of G resulting from contracting each tree T_i , it is a depth d shallow minor of G . By construction, H is a clique on $2^{d+1} + 1$ vertices, thus of edge density exactly 2^d . By the inequality from the lecture, we get $\text{wcol}_{4d+1}(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2^d$. Now, we observe that for $d > 3$ we get $\text{wcol}_d(\mathcal{C}) \geq \text{wcol}_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \text{wcol}_{d-2}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \text{wcol}_{d-3}(\mathcal{C})$ and out of these, one is of the form $4k + 1$, in the worst case it is the last one, thus $\text{wcol}_d(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2^{(d-4)/4}$. Thus, we get the desired result (we can get rid of multiplicative constant by lowering our ε enough, if we so desire; for smaller d , the inequality is trivial). \square