

Equivariant K-theory and Elliptic cohomology

MIMUW, Spring semester 2026
Andrzej Weber

I will assume that the participants of the course are well familiar with the classical cohomology theory.

Introduction

Summary of Cohomology Theories on the category of Compact Spaces

0.1 Let \mathbf{Top}_\star denote the category of compact topological spaces with a distinguished point and continuous maps. We assume that the spaces are homeomorphic to polyhedra (or CW-complexes). By \star we denote the one point space or the distinguished point in the topological space X .

0.2 Depending on the circumstances we assume that the spaces are “decent”. We will discuss technical issues later. It is most convenient to assume that the spaces we consider are CW-complexes.

0.3 Let \mathbf{hTop}_\star be the homotopy category.

A short formulation of cohomology axioms

0.4 A cohomology theory consists of:

- A sequence of contravariant functors

$$\tilde{H}^n : \mathbf{hTop}_\star \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

- Natural transformations (connecting homomorphisms)

$$\delta : \tilde{H}^n(A) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{n+1}(X/A)$$

for each pair (X, A) with $A \subseteq X$ closed.

0.5 In **standard cohomology theory** the following axioms are satisfied

- (i). Exactness Axiom

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(X/A) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{H}^{n+1}(X/A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- (ii). Dimension Axiom

$$\tilde{H}^n(S^0) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{a fixed abelian group/ring (the coefficients)}$$

Here S^0 denotes the 0-dimensional sphere, i.e. two point space, one of the points is distinguished.

0.6 This data determines the theory.

0.7 We write:

$$H^n(X) = \tilde{H}^n(X^+), \text{ where } X^+ = X \sqcup \star,$$

$$H^n(X, A) = \tilde{H}^n(X/A) \text{ for } A \subset X \text{ closed (cofibration),}$$

$$H^*(X) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^n(X),$$

If we want to stress the coefficients (e.g. in \mathbb{Z}) we write $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

0.8 We deduce the classical set of Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms

- exactness axiom
- excision axiom
- dimension axiom
- for noncompact spaces one has to assume an additional axiom

$$H^*\left(\bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) = \prod_{\alpha} H^*(X_{\alpha}).$$

0.9 Let

$$A \vee B = A \times \star \cup \star \times B$$

and

$$A \wedge B = A \times B / A \vee B.$$

- Show that $S^1 \wedge X$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma X = [0, 1] \times X / \sim$

$$(0, x) \sim (0, y), \quad (1, x) \sim (1, y)$$

0.10 Generalized cohomology theory: There is only one axiom (i). We reject the dimension axiom.

0.11 Exactness Axiom:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(X/A) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{h}^{n+1}(X/A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- No dimension axiom !

0.12 The knowledge of $\tilde{h}^*(S^0)$ does not determine the theory: If $\tilde{h}^*(S^0)$ is torsion free then

$$\tilde{k}^*(X) := \tilde{H}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \tilde{h}^*(S^0)$$

is another cohomology theory. The theories h and k have the same value for $X = S^0$, but in general they are not isomorphic.

$$\text{K-theory:} \quad \tilde{K}^*(-) \not\simeq H^*(-) \otimes \tilde{K}^*(S^0) \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{*+2k}(-),$$

$$\text{Unitary cobordism:} \quad \tilde{U}^*(-) \not\simeq \tilde{H}^*(-) \otimes \tilde{U}^*(S^0).$$

0.13 Exercise: show that

- $\tilde{h}^*(\star) = 0$
- $\tilde{h}^*(A \wedge B) \simeq \tilde{h}^*(A) \oplus \tilde{h}^*(B)$
- $\tilde{h}^k(X) \simeq \tilde{h}^{k+1}(S^1 \wedge X)$.

0.14 Cohomology theories are represented by spectra: (see Brown's representability theorem, [R. Switzer, *Algebraic topology - homotopy and homology*, Classics in Mathematics, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 152–157]).

0.15 G -spaces

Let G be a compact group, $G - \mathbf{hTop}_{\star}$ the category of compact G -spaces with a distinguished point, which is preserved by G . Additionally one has to exclude topological pathologies. The notion of G -CW-complexes is most convenient. For spaces like manifolds, algebraic varieties with smooth/algebraic group actions the topological complications do not appear.

0.16 Basic equivariant construction:

- For an orthogonal representation $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ let $D(V)$ denote the unit ball in V and $S(V) = \partial D(V)$ the unit sphere.
- For $H \subset G$ and a H -space Y let

$$G \overset{H}{\times} Y = G \times Y / \sim, \quad (gh, y) \sim (g, hy) \text{ for } h \in H$$

We have for a G -space Z

$$\text{Map}_H(X, Z) = \text{Map}_G(G \overset{H}{\times} X, Z).$$

- Applying $\overset{H}{\times}$ we construct a building block, an „equivariant cell” – a tubular thickening $G \overset{H}{\times} D(V)$ of the orbit G/H , whose boundary $G \overset{H}{\times} S(V)$ is a sphere bundle over G/H .

0.17 A G -space X admits a G -CW decomposition if there is a filtration

$$\emptyset = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_n = X$$

such that for each k there are given a subgroup H_k , its orthogonal representation $H_k \rightarrow GL(V_k)$ and a G -homeomorphism

$$X_k \simeq X_{k-1} \cup_{f_k} (G \overset{H_k}{\times} D(V_k))$$

where $f_k : G \overset{H_k}{\times} S(V_k) \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is the gluing data, „the characteristic map”.

- Further, we can assume (possibly passing to a finer decomposition) that the action of H on V is trivial, thus the cells are of the form $G/H \times D(V)$.

- For a proof that a smooth G -manifold with a smooth G -action of a Lie group admits a decomposition into G -cells (in the strong sense) see [Sören Illman, *The Equivariant Triangulation Theorem for Actions of Compact Lie Groups*. *Mathematische Annalen* (1983) Volume: 262, page 487-502]

0.18 The assumption that there is a distinguished fixed point is made for convenience. If the action of G on X has no fixed point, we can artificially add a point considering $X^+ = X \sqcup \star$.

0.19 To dive deeper into the structure of G -spaces, see *slice theorem* [Bre72, §II.5].

- Example: equivariant tubular neighbourhood.
- Peculiarities of algebraic tori acting on algebraic varieties, [Car02, §4.1].

Generalized equivariant cohomology theory

0.20 Exactness Axiom

$$\dots \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(X/A) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^n(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{h}^{n+1}(X/A) \rightarrow \dots$$

0.21 Suspension is more involving, and it is included into axioms:

- Let $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a linear representation of G , and $S_V = V \sqcup \{\infty\}$, i.e. one point compactification of V , which is a sphere with G action. It has at least two points: 0 and ∞ .
- Let $|V|$ denote the real dimension of V .
- There is given a family of **compatible** isomorphisms, see [tD71]

$$\tilde{h}^n(X) \simeq \tilde{h}^{n+|V|}(S_V \wedge X).$$

(Easier to say $h^n(X) \simeq h^{n+|V|}(S_V \times X, \{\infty\} \times X)$.)

0.22 Compatibilities of the equivariant suspensions:

- Behavior with respect to taking the direct sum of representations. There is given a family of isomorphisms

$$\tilde{h}^*(S_V \wedge S_W \wedge X) \simeq \tilde{h}^*(S_W \wedge S_V \wedge X) \simeq \tilde{h}^*(S_{V \oplus W} \wedge X),$$

which satisfy a (easy to guess) coherences involving signs.

- Commutation of δ with $S_V \wedge$:

We leave details for later.

0.23 Transformation of theories. Although coefficients of the theory do not determine it, but in the presence of transformation of theories one can conclude the isomorphism:

- If $k^* \rightarrow h^*$ is a transformation of generalized equivariant cohomology theories and suppose that for every orbit G/H the induced map

$$(\spadesuit) \quad k^*(G/H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} h^*(G/H)$$

is an isomorphism. Then for any G -space X

$$k^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} h^*(X).$$

- Enough to assume (\spadesuit) for orbits appearing in X
- That is so because here the building blocks is not the point \star , but the orbits G/H .

Instead of developing an abstract theory let us study in detail K -theory, [Seg68].

1 Vector bundles

See [HJJS08].

1.1 (Non-equivariant) vector bundles and constructions on bundles – recollection.

We fix $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ (possibly \mathbb{H}), but preferably \mathbb{C} .

Definition: a vector bundle over \mathbb{K} is a map $p : E \rightarrow X$ together with continuous operations

$$+ : E \times E \rightarrow E, \quad \cdot : \mathbb{K} \times E \rightarrow E, \quad 0 : X \rightarrow E,$$

such that:

- $p : E \rightarrow X$ is a local trivial fibration,
- the maps $+$ and \cdot preserve the fibers of p , the map 0 is a section of p ,
- each fiber $E_x = p^{-1}(x)$ is a vector space w/r to $+$ and \cdot .

1.2 Examples

- Trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{K}^n$. If $n = 1$ such bundle is often denoted by $\mathbb{1}_X$ or θ_X .
- Bundles known from differential geometry:
 - If X is a manifold over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , then we have the tangent vector bundle TX , cotangent vector bundle T^*X , also denoted by Ω_X^1 , but this can be confused with the sheaf of sections.
 - Exterior powers of the cotangent bundle — do not confuse with the shaves of forms. (But in practice often denoted by the same symbol Ω_X^p .)
 - If $Y \subset X$ is a submanifold: normal bundle $\nu_{Y/X} = (TX|_Y)/TY$, conormal bundle $\nu_{Y/X}^* = \ker(T^*X|_Y \rightarrow T^*Y)$,
 - If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a submersion, then we have the relative tangent bundle, $Tf \subset TX$, consisting of vectors tangent to the fibers.
- Tautological bundles: Let V be a vector space, $\dim V = n < \infty$ and let $\text{Gr}_k(V)$ be the Grassmann manifold parametrizing k -dimensional subspaces. We have
 - $S = \{(W, v) \in \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V : v \in W\}$ the tautological bundle, it is a subbundle of the trivial bundle $\underline{V} = \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V$.
 - $Q = \underline{V}/S$ - the quotient bundle.
 - As topological bundles $\underline{V} \simeq S \oplus Q$, (an isomorphism determined e.g. by a choice of a scalar/hermitian product in V). But as holomorphic bundles we have only an exact sequence.
 - In particular for $k = 1$, $\text{Gr}_1(V) = \mathbb{P}(V)$ the tautological line bundle is denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(-1)$, and the dual bundle by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$.

1.3 For the fixed base X the class of isomorphism classes of vector bundles of given dimension n is a set, moreover (see 2.8)

$$\text{Vect}^n : \mathbf{Top}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is a functor, which factors through \mathbf{hTop}^{op} (see 2.9).

- We often skip \mathbb{K} in the notation, meaning $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

1.4 Richer categories: smooth, holomorphic, algebraic bundles.

- Associated sheaves of sections.

1.5 Vector bundles can be identified with projective modules over $C(X; \mathbb{K})$.

1.6 Operation on bundles

- Direct sum $E \oplus F = E \times_X F$
- The set $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} Vect^n(X)$ is a semigroup.
- Tensor product — this demands use of defining cocycles
- On $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} Vect^n(X)$ we have a structure of a semiring
- Hom-bundle, in particular the dual bundle
- Any functor e.g. symmetric and exterior powers,

1.7 For a subbundle $F \subset E$ we have the quotient E/F

1.8 In general a morphism of bundles $f : E \rightarrow F$ might have nonconstant rank, so kernel and cokernel is not defined unless we pass to sheaves (assuming some richer structure, e.g. holomorphic).

1.9 Equivariant vector bundles

- We assume that G acts on E and X and the map p is G equivariant. Moreover, if $g(x) = y$ then the map $g|_{E_x} : E_x \rightarrow E_y$ is linear.
- In particular, if x is a fixed point, then E_x is a linear representation of G .

1.10 What are the bundles over G/H ? Given a representation $H \rightarrow GL(V)$ define a bundle over G/H

$$G \overset{H}{\times} V = G \times V / \sim, \quad (gh, v) \sim (g, hv).$$

1.11 **Theorem:** Let $W \rightarrow G/H$ be a vector bundle, $V = W_{eH}$ the fiber over eH , this is an H -representation. Then the natural map

$$G \overset{H}{\times} V \rightarrow W, \quad [g, v] \mapsto gv$$

is an isomorphism of G -bundles.

1.12 Corollary:

$$Vect_G^n(G/H) \simeq Vect_H^n(pt).$$

1.13 Generalization for H -space

$$Vect_G^n(G \overset{H}{\times} X) \simeq Vect_H^n(X).$$

2 Vector bundles and K-theory

2.1 Definition via cocycle

- Given a covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ and gluing functions $g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$g_{ij}g_{jk} = g_{ik} \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$$

(cocycle condition). We define

$$E = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} \{i\} \times U_i \times \mathbb{C}^n \right) / \sim$$

$$(i, x, v) \sim (j, x, g_{ij}(x)v) \quad \text{for } x \in U_{ij}.$$

- Passing to a subcovering allows to compare cocycles defined for different coverings, like in the Čech cohomology.

- Two cocycles $\{g_{ij}\}$ and $\{g'_{ij}\}$ define isomorphic bundles if (on a finer covering) there exist functions $h_i : U_i \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$g'_{ij} = h_i g_{ij} h_j^{-1} \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j.$$

- In other words

$$Vect^n(X) := H^1(X; \mathcal{C}(X, \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))).$$

This is the „nonabelian” cohomology with coefficients in the sheaf of groups $\mathcal{C}(X, \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ of continuous functions with values in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

2.2 The same mechanism applies to equivariant bundle. But as a basic ”trivial bundles” are the bundles of the form

$$G \overset{H}{\times} (S \times V) \rightarrow G \overset{H}{\times} S = U,$$

where S is a H -space and V is a representation of H .

- We have to assume that the sets of the covering are as above, hence they are preserved by G and the cocycle is G -equivariant.

- In particular, suppose that the action of G on X is trivial, and the bundle itself is trivial. But it does not mean that we can take as a trivializing covering consisting of X .

- Example: Suppose $\mathbb{I}^n = E_1 \oplus E_2$ with the action of $G = S^1$ by two different characters on the summands.

2.3 Theorem: *Every bundle is a quotient of a trivial bundle (under standing assumption that X is compact).*

Proof (for the nonequivariant case): Let $n = \text{rk}(E)$. Suppose the bundle E has trivialization on a finite covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$:

$$\varphi_i : U_i \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow E|_{U_i}.$$

Assume that ψ_i is a partition of unity for the covering $\{U_i\}$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} X \times \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow E \\ (x, \{v_i\}_{i \in I}) &\mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \psi_i(x) \varphi_i(x, v_i) \end{aligned}$$

is well defined and surjective. □

2.4 Better point of view: We have a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} C(X; E) \times X &\rightarrow E \\ (s, x) &\mapsto s(x). \end{aligned}$$

We pick a finite dimensional vector space $V \subset C(X; E)$, which subjects to every E_x (in the proof above the space V is spanned by ψ_i times a basis section of $E|_{U_i}$).

2.5 Proof in the equivariant case: one has two construct a finite dimensional subspace

$$V \subset W := C(X; E)$$

of the space of the global sections, such that

- at every point $x \in X$ the restriction $V \rightarrow E_x$ is a surjection,
- V is G -invariant.
- This is possible due to a version of Peter-Weyl theorem: ♠ *Suppose W is a topological vector space which is Hausdorff, complete and locally convex. Suppose G (a compact group) acts continuously. Then*

$$W_a = \{v \in W : \dim(\text{span } Gv) < \infty\}$$

is dense in W . [Mos61, 2.16]

- (The subscript a in the notation evokes to the Peter-Weyl classical statement, that polynomial functions are dense in all continuous functions $C(G) = C(G; \mathbb{C})$.)

- By the theorem above, at each point $x \in X$ we can choose sections spanning the fiber E_x and belonging to W_a . By compactness of X we can choose finitely many sections spanning fibers at each point. □

2.6 Proof of (\spadesuit): if $X = G$ and $E = X \times \mathbb{C}$, then this is the Peter-Weyl theorem:

$$C(G)_a \quad \text{is dense in} \quad C(G)$$

with the norm sup. Suppose $w \in W$, $\phi \in C(G)$. Let

$$w_\phi = \int_G \phi(g)g(w) dg \quad (\text{Haar measure}).$$

Let $U \subset W$ be a convex neighbourhood of 0 in V . We will construct an element $w_\phi \in W_a$, such that $w - w_\phi \in 2U$. Let $V \subset G$ be a neighbourhood of e , such that $g(w) - w \in U$ for $g \in V$. Let $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset V$, $\int_G \psi(g)dg = 1$. Then

$$w_\psi - w = \int_G (\psi(g)g(w) - w)dg = \int_G \psi(g)(g(w) - w)dg \in U$$

by convexity. The map

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) &\rightarrow W, \\ \phi &\mapsto w_\phi \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Chose $\phi \in C(G)_a$, such that

$$w_\psi - w_\phi = \int_G (\psi(g) - \phi(g))g(w) \in U$$

by density of $C(G)_a \subset C(G)$. Hence

$$w - w_\phi = w - w_\psi + w_\psi - w_\phi \in 2U.$$

The vector w_ϕ is contained in a finite dimensional representation, since for $h \in G$

$$h(w_\phi) = h \int_G \phi(g)g(w)dg = \int_G \phi(g)hg(w)dg = \int_G \phi(gh^{-1})g(w)dg = w_{h\phi}.$$

But $h\phi \in \text{span}\{g\phi : g \in G\} = \text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m\}$ which is a finite dimensional space by assumption on ϕ . By the definition of w_ϕ (linearity)

$$w_{h\phi} \in \text{span}\{w_{\phi_1}, w_{\phi_2}, \dots, w_{\phi_m}\}.$$

□

2.7 Corollary: Every G -equivariant vector bundle is a subbundle of a trivial bundle $V_X = X \times V$, where V is a representation of G and the action of G on $X \times V$ is diagonal.

- For every G bundle E there exist a G -bundle F , such that $E \oplus F \simeq V_X$.
- Every G -bundle of rank n is isomorphic to the pull-back (TBA) from the tautological bundle over $\text{Gr}_n(V)$ for an appropriate G -representation V .
- Allowing V to be of infinite dimension it is possible to fix an universal space V . It is necessary to assume that any representation of G is a direct summand of V infinitely many times.

Pull-back

2.8 For $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow Y$ let f^*E (also denoted by $f^!E$) is defined by

$$f^*E = E \times_Y X = \{(v, x) \in E \times X : p(v) = f(x)\}.$$

- We have $(f^*E)_x \simeq E_{f(x)}$.

2.9 Theorem: If $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are homotopic, then $f^*E \simeq g^*E$.

(I copy the proof from [AB64]. Assumption: X is a compact Hausdorff space.)

2.10 Lemma: Suppose E, F are vector bundles over X and let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subspace, such that $E|_Y \simeq F|_Y$. Then there exists an open set $U \supset Y$, such that $E|_U \simeq F|_U$.

- Consider the bundle $\text{Hom}(E, F)$. The isomorphism over Y defines a section of $\text{Hom}(E, F)|_Y$. This section can be extended on each open set of the trivializing cover, and patched together using partition of unity. We obtain a global section $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, F)$. The condition „ $\phi_x : E_x \rightarrow F_x$ is an isomorphism” is an open condition. \square

- In the equivariant case the same proof works, , we can extend nonequivariantly and after that average over the group G - which is compact by assumption.

2.11 Lemma: Let $E \rightarrow X \times [0, 1]$ be a vector bundle, $\iota_t : X \rightarrow X \times [0, 1]$ the inclusion $x \mapsto (x, t)$, Then $\iota_t^* E \simeq \iota_s^* E$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

- Let $F = p^* \iota_t^* E$, where $p : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Over $X_t := X \times \{t\}$ we have an isomorphism $E|_{X_t} \simeq F|_{X_t}$. Thus $E|_{X_s} \simeq F|_{X_s}$ for s sufficiently close to t . This means that $E|_{X_s} \simeq E|_{X_t}$. Using compactness of $[0, 1]$ and transitivity of the relation \simeq we obtain the claim of the lemma. \square

- Theorem 2.9 follows from Lemma in the standard way.
- For the equivariant case we have to assume that the homotopy is G -invariant.

3 K-theory

3.1 The set $\text{Vect}_G(X) = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Vect}_G^n(X)$, whose elements are the isomorphism classes of complex G -vector bundles over X is semigroup.

- The above definition applies to connected X . If X is not connected, we allow that the ranks of the vector bundles vary:

$$K_G(X) = \bigoplus_{Y \text{ component of } X/G} \text{Vect}_G(\pi^{-1}Y),$$

where $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$.

3.2 Segal's K-theory for compact groups

$$K_G(X) = \text{Groth}(\text{Vect}_G(X))$$

is the associated Grothendieck group.

- The elements of $K_G(X)$ are formal differences $[E] - [F]$ and

$$[E] - [F] = [E'] - [F'] \iff \exists Z \in \text{Vect}_G(X) \quad E' \oplus F \oplus Z = F' \oplus E \oplus Z.$$

Later we will skip the brackets in the notation.

- The additional summand is necessary to have transitivity of the relation \sim . Note that in $\text{Vect}_G(X)$ there is no cancellation property

$$A \oplus Z \simeq B \oplus Z \not\Rightarrow A \simeq B$$

3.3 Tensor product defines the ring structure in $K_G(X)$. The unit is $\mathbb{1}_X$.

3.4 K-groups are modules over $R(G) = K_G(\star)$.

3.5 Note that if there is an exact sequence of G -vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3 \rightarrow 0$$

then in $K_G(X)$

$$E_1 + E_3 = E_2$$

because in the topological category every exact sequence splits over the base which is paracompact.

- One can always find a hermitian product in E_2 and $E_3 \simeq E_1^\perp$,

3.6 The above statement is not true in the category of holomorphic bundles, thus in the algebraic K -theory we impose the relation

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3 \rightarrow 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad [E_1] + [E_3] = [E_2]$$

- Very general construction: Suppose \mathcal{C} is an additive category with a distinguished class of „exact sequences”. (We do not assume that \mathcal{C} is abelian, but the class of „exact sequences” has to satisfy certain set of natural axioms, eg it is an additive subcategory of an abelian category, see [Wei13, §II.7].)

- Examples:

- All coherent sheaves $\mathcal{C} = Coh(X)$, where X is an algebraic variety.
- Locally free sheaves $\mathcal{C} \subset Coh(X)$, where X is an algebraic variety.

In both cases the „exact sequences” are just exact sequences in the abelian category $Coh(X)$

- Theorem: if X is smooth then the resulting K -theories are isomorphic

$$K_{G,loc.free}(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K_{G,coh}(X)$$

We will discuss only the topological K -theory. See [Seg68].

3.7 For a representation V let $V_X = X \times V$. By (2.7) every element of $K_G(X)$ is represented by $E - V_X$.

3.8 We say that vector bundles E, F are stably isomorphic, if there exist G -representations V, W , such that $E \oplus V_X \simeq F \oplus W_X$.

- The stable isomorphism classes is an abelian group with respect to \oplus . The opposite bundle exists by (2.7).

- Let $p : X \rightarrow \star$ be the map to the point. Define

$$\tilde{K}_G(X) := \text{coker}(p^* : K_G(\star) \rightarrow K_G(X)).$$

- The image of p^* consists of the virtual bundles $V_X - V'_X$. Therefore the cokernel can be identified with classes of stable isomorphism classes of G -bundles.

3.9 $K_G(X)$ as a functor:

$$K_G : \mathbf{hTop}_G^{op} \longrightarrow R(G) - \text{algebras}.$$

3.10 Extreme examples:

- If $X = G/H$ then $K_G(X) = R(H)$
- If $X = G \times^H Y$, for a H -space Y , then $K_G(X) = K_H(Y)$
- If G acts freely on X then $\pi^* : K(X/G) \rightarrow K_G(X)$ is an isomorphism, with inverse:

$$(E \rightarrow X) \mapsto (E/G \rightarrow X/G),$$

$$E \simeq E/G \times_{X/G} X.$$

- More generally: if $N \triangleleft G$ acts freely on X , than $K_{G/N}(X/N) \simeq K_G(X)$.
- If G -action on X is trivial then

$$K_G(X) \simeq K(X) \otimes R(G).$$

- This is due to the functorial isomorphism in representation category of compact groups: Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of all simple objects. Then the natural map

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i \otimes \text{Hom}_G(V_i, V) \xrightarrow{\simeq} V$$

is an isomorphism.

◦ It follows that any bundle over $X = X^G$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i \otimes \text{Hom}_G(V_i, E).$$

Here the action of G on the second factor is trivial.

◦ The subbundle, E_i , the image of $V_i \otimes \text{Hom}_G(V_i, E)$, is a generalization of the eigen-subbundle for $G = T \simeq (S^1)^r$. Note that E_i might be of higher rank than $\dim V_i$.

• Exercise: Suppose $E \rightarrow X$ is as a trivial bundle $E \simeq X \times \mathbb{C}^n$ as a nonequivariant vector bundle. Describe all possible structures of a G -vector bundle.

3.11 With the fixed point \star we have a canonical splitting

$$K_G(\star) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_G(X) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} K_G(\star),$$

thus

$$K_G(X) \simeq K_G(\star) \oplus \tilde{K}_G(X), \quad \tilde{K}_G(X) \simeq \ker(\iota^*).$$

• If X has no fixed point, then $K_G(\star) \rightarrow K_G(X)$ does not have to be a monomorphism, e.g. for $X = G$.

3.12 Let us define

$$K_G(X, A) := \tilde{K}_G(X/A).$$

By homotopy invariance

$$K_G(X, A) = \tilde{K}_G(X \cup CA),$$

where $X \cup CA$ has the distinguished point $A \times \{0\} \cup \star \times [0, 1]$ shrunk to one point.

• Note that if A is a G -contractible subspace of a compact G -space X , then

$$K_G(X, A) \simeq \tilde{K}_G(X).$$

3.13 Description of $K_G(X, A)$: the elements are represented by the formal differences $E - F$, a with a given isomorphism

$$\phi : E|_A \rightarrow F|_A.$$

These generators are subjects to a stable isomorphism relation.

• Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/A$. Given a stable isomorphism class E on X/A . Let $V = E|_\star$. Define the pair of bundles

$$\tilde{E} = \pi^* E, \quad \tilde{F} = V_X,$$

then clearly we have an isomorphism $\tilde{E}|_A \simeq V_A$ on A .

• Conversely, suppose $E|_A \simeq F|_A$. Adding a bundle to both sides we can assume that $F = V_X$, and we have an isomorphism $E|_A \simeq V_A$. By (2.10) we can assume that the isomorphism extends to a neighbourhood $U \supset A$. Therefore we can define a bundle E' on X/A , since we have a trivialization on the neighbourhood $U/A \supset \star$.

3.14 Continuity: Suppose there is a directed system of G -invariant closed subset

$$X \supset \dots \supset A_i \supset A_{i+1} \supset \dots, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = A.$$

3.15 Theorem: *The natural map*

$$\varinjlim_i K_G(A_i) \longrightarrow K_G(A)$$

is an isomorphism.

• Injective: suppose $E|_A \simeq F|_A$, then $E|_U \simeq F|_U$ for some neighbourhood of A . Since X is compact, $A_i \subset U$ for i large enough and for such i we have $[E|_{A_i}] = [F|_{A_i}]$.

- Surjective: Given a bundle $E \rightarrow A$. We can assume that $E \subset V_A$ for some representation. It is given by the image of an idempotent $p \in \text{End}(V_A)$. The space of idempotents in $\text{End}(V_X)$ forms a (trivial) fibration over X . It is possible to extend the section from A to a neighbourhood of A . One should do it equivariantly with respect to the G action.

- Segal provides a concrete recipe: we extend p anyhow, however equivariantly (possibly averaging) and we apply the transformation

$$P \mapsto \Phi(P) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (zI - P)^{-1} dz,$$

where γ is a circle around 1 with radius $1/2$. It is assumed, that P has no eigenvalues in that circle.

- Exercise: $\Phi(P)$ is an idempotent.

3.16 Segal's convention: for noncompact spaces $K_G(X) := \tilde{K}_G(X^c)$, where X^c is the one point compactification (denoted in [Seg68] by X^+ and should not be confused with adding one point to a compact space).

Extending $K_G(-)$ to a cohomology theory

3.17 We work with the G -spaces with a distinguished fixed point, fixed by G . Let CX be the reduced cone, $SX := S^1 \wedge X \simeq CX \times_X CX \simeq CX/X$ be the reduced suspension.

3.18 Proposition: The sequence (with the natural maps)

$$\tilde{K}_G(X \cup_A CA) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_G(X) \longrightarrow K_G(A)$$

is exact.

- $A \rightarrow X \cup_A CA$ is null-homotopic, so the composition is 0.
- If $E|_A = 0$, then it means that is that $E|_A \oplus V_A \simeq V'_A$. This allows to define a bundle, which is $E \oplus V_X$ over X and V'_X over CA .

3.19 Puppe sequence. For $f : A \rightarrow X$ denote by Cf the mapping cone $CA \cup_f X$. We have an embedding $j : X \rightarrow Cf$ and homotopy retraction $Cj \xrightarrow{\simeq} SA$. Moreover the cone of $k : Cf \rightarrow SA$, is homotopy equivalent to SX .

- We have the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_G(SX) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_G(SA) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_G(C(f)) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_G(X) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_G(A).$$

3.20 Definition. For $q \geq 0$ let

$$\tilde{K}_G^{-q}(X) = \tilde{K}_G(S^q X).$$

- To have a cohomology theory it is missing to define $\tilde{K}_G^q(X)$, $q > 0$.

References

- [AB64] Michael Atiyah and Raoul Bott. On the periodicity theorem for complex vector bundles. *Acta Math.*, 112:229–247, 1964.
- [Bre72] Glen E. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, volume Vol. 46 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [Car02] James B. Carrell. Torus actions and cohomology. In *Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action*, volume 131 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 83–158. Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [HJJS08] D. Husemöller, M. Joachim, B. Jurčo, and M. Schottenloher. *Basic bundle theory and K-cohomology invariants*, volume 726 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer, Berlin, 2008. With contributions by Siegfried Echterhoff, Stefan Fredenhagen and Bernhard Krötz.

- [Mos61] G. D. Mostow. Cohomology of topological groups and solvmanifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 73:20–48, 1961.
- [Seg68] Graeme Segal. Equivariant K -theory. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (34):129–151, 1968.
- [tD71] Tammo tom Dieck. Lokalisierung äquivarianter Kohomologie-Theorien. *Math. Z.*, 121:253–262, 1971.
- [Wei13] Charles A. Weibel. *The K-book*, volume 145 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. An introduction to algebraic K -theory.