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## Universal algebra and category theory: basic ideas, notions and some results

- Algebras, homomorphisms, equations: basic definitions and results
- Categories; examples and simple categorical definitions
- Limits and colimits
- Functors and natural transformations
- Adjunctions
- Cartesian closed categories
- Monads
- Institutions (abstract model theory, abstract specification theory)

BUT: Tell me what you want to learn!

## Literature

> Plenty of standard textbooks

But this will be roughly based on:

- D.T. Sannella, A. Tarlecki.

Foundations of Algebraic Specifications and Formal Program Development. Springer, 2012.

- Chap. 1: Universal algebra
- Chap. 2: Simple equational specifications
- Chap. 3: Category theory


## One motivation

> Software systems (modules, programs, databases. . . ): sets of data with operations on them

- Disregarding: code, efficiency, robustness, reliability, ...
- Focusing on: CORRECTNESS


## Universal algebra from rough analogy

module interface $\sim$ signature module $\leadsto$ algebra
module specification $\leadsto$ class of algebras

## Category theory

A language to further abstract away from the standard notions of universal algebra, to deal with their numerous variants needed in foundations of computer science.

## Signatures

Algebraic signature:

$$
\Sigma=(S, \Omega)
$$

- sort names: $S$
- operation names, classified by arities and result sorts: $\Omega=\left\langle\Omega_{w, s}\right\rangle_{w \in S^{*}, s \in S}$

Alternatively:

$$
\Sigma=(S, \Omega, \text { arity }, \text { sort })
$$

with sort names $S$, operation names $\Omega$, and arity and result sort functions

$$
\text { arity }: \Omega \rightarrow S^{*} \text { and sort }: \Omega \rightarrow S
$$

- $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ stands for $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, s \in S$ and $f \in \Omega_{s_{1} \ldots s_{n}, s}$

Fix a signature $\Sigma=(S, \Omega)$ for a while.

## Algebras

- $\Sigma$-algebra:

$$
A=\left(|A|,\left\langle f_{A}\right\rangle_{f \in \Omega}\right)
$$

- carrier sets: $\left.|A|=\left.\langle | A\right|_{s}\right\rangle_{s \in S}$
- operations: $f_{A}:|A|_{s_{1}} \times \ldots \times|A|_{s_{n}} \rightarrow|A|_{s}$, for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$
- the class of all $\Sigma$-algebras:

$$
\operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)
$$

Can $\operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)$ be empty? Finite?
Can $A \in \mathbf{A} \lg (\Sigma)$ have empty carriers?

## Subalgebras

- for $A \in \operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)$, a $\Sigma$-subalgebra $A_{\text {sub }} \subseteq A$ is given by subset $\left|A_{\text {sub }}\right| \subseteq|A|$ closed under the operations:
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $a_{1} \in\left|A_{\text {sub }}\right|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n} \in\left|A_{\text {sub }}\right|_{s_{n}}$,

$$
f_{A_{\text {sub }}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=f_{A}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)
$$

- for $A \in \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma)$ and $X \subseteq|A|$, the subalgebra of $A$ genereted by $X,\langle A\rangle_{X}$, is the least subalgebra of $A$ that contains $X$.
- $A \in \operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)$ is reachable if $\langle A\rangle_{\emptyset}$ coincides with $A$.

Fact: For any $A \in \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma)$ and $X \subseteq|A|,\langle A\rangle_{X}$ exists.
Proof (idea):

- generate the generated subalgebra from $X$ by closing it under operations in $A$; or
- the intersection of any family of subalgebras of $A$ is a subalgebra of $A$.


## Homomorphisms

- for $A, B \in \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma)$, a $\Sigma$-homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ is a function $h:|A| \rightarrow|B|$ that preserves the operations:
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $a_{1} \in|A|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n} \in|A|_{s_{n}}$,

$$
h_{s}\left(f_{A}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)=f_{B}\left(h_{s_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, h_{s_{n}}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Fact: Given a homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ and subalgebras $A_{\text {sub }}$ of $A$ and $B_{\text {sub }}$ of $B$, the image of $A_{\text {sub }}$ under $h, h\left(A_{\text {sub }}\right)$, is a subalgebra of $B$, and the coimage of $B_{\text {sub }}$ under $h, h^{-1}\left(B_{\text {sub }}\right)$, is a subalgebra of $A$.

Fact: Given a homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ and $X \subseteq|A|, h\left(\langle A\rangle_{X}\right)=\langle B\rangle_{h(X)}$.
Fact: If two homomorphisms $h_{1}, h_{2}: A \rightarrow B$ coincide on $X \subseteq|A|$, then they coincide on $\langle A\rangle_{X}$.

Fact: Identity function on the carrier of $A \in \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma)$ is a homomorphism $i d_{A}: A \rightarrow A$. Composition of homomorphisms $h: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ is a homomorphism $h ; g: A \rightarrow C$.

## Isomorphisms

- for $A, B \in \operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)$, a $\Sigma$-isomorphism is any $\Sigma$-homomorphism $i: A \rightarrow B$ that has an inverse, i.e., a $\Sigma$-homomorphism $i^{-1}: B \rightarrow A$ such that $i ; i^{-1}=i d_{A}$ and $i^{-1} ; i=i d_{B}$.
- $\Sigma$-algebras are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism between them.

Fact: A $\Sigma$-homomorphism is a $\Sigma$-isomorphism iff it is bijective (" $1-1$ " and "onto").
Fact: Identities are isomorphisms, and any composition of isomorphisms is an isomorphism.

## Congruences

- for $A \in \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma)$, a $\Sigma$-congruence on $A$ is an equivalence $\equiv \subseteq|A| \times|A|$ that is closed under the operations:
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime} \in|A|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n}, a_{n}^{\prime} \in|A|_{s_{n}}$,

$$
\text { if } a_{1} \equiv_{s_{1}} a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n} \equiv_{s_{n}} a_{n}^{\prime} \text { then } f_{A}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \equiv_{s} f_{A}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Fact: For any relation $R \subseteq|A| \times|A|$ on the carrier of a $\Sigma$-algebra $A$, there exists the least congruence on $A$ that conatins $R$.

Fact: For any $\Sigma$-homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$, the kernel of $h, K(h) \subseteq|A| \times|A|$, where $a K(h) a^{\prime}$ iff $h(a)=h\left(a^{\prime}\right)$, is a $\Sigma$-congruence on $A$.

## Quotients

- for $A \in \operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)$ and $\Sigma$-congruence $\equiv \subseteq|A| \times|A|$ on $A$, the quotient algebra $A / \equiv$ is built in the natural way on the equivalence classes of $\equiv$ :
- for $s \in S,|A / \equiv|_{s}=\left\{\left.[a]_{\equiv}|a \in| A\right|_{s}\right\}$, with $[a]_{\equiv}=\left\{a^{\prime} \in|A|_{s} \mid a \equiv a^{\prime}\right\}$
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $a_{1} \in|A|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n} \in|A|_{s_{n}}$,

$$
f_{A / \equiv}\left(\left[a_{1}\right]_{\equiv}, \ldots,\left[a_{n}\right]_{\equiv}\right)=\left[f_{A}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right]_{\equiv}
$$

Fact: The above is well-defined; moreover, the natural map that assigns to every element its equivalence class is a $\Sigma$-homomorphisms $[-] \equiv: A \rightarrow A / \equiv$.

Fact: Given two $\Sigma$-congruences $\equiv$ and $\equiv^{\prime}$ on $A, \equiv \subseteq \equiv^{\prime}$ iff there exists a $\Sigma$-homomorphism $h: A / \equiv \rightarrow A / \equiv^{\prime}$ such that []$_{\equiv} ; h=[-]_{\equiv^{\prime}}$.

Fact: For any $\Sigma$-homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B, A / K(h)$ is isomorphic with $h(A)$.

## Products

- for $A_{i} \in \mathbf{A} \lg (\Sigma), i \in \mathcal{I}$, the product of $\left\langle A_{i}\right\rangle_{i \in \mathcal{I}}, \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_{i}$ is built in the natural way on the Cartesian product of the carriers of $A_{i}, i \in \mathcal{I}$ :
- for $s \in S,\left|\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_{i}\right|_{s}=\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\left|A_{i}\right|_{s}$
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $a_{1} \in\left|\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_{i}\right|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, a_{n} \in\left|\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_{i}\right|_{s_{n}}$, for $i \in \mathcal{I}, f_{\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_{i}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)(i)=f_{A_{i}}\left(a_{1}(i), \ldots, a_{n}(i)\right)$

Fact: For any family $\left\langle A_{i}\right\rangle_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of $\Sigma$-algebras, projections $\pi_{i}(a)=a(i)$, where $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $a \in \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\left|A_{i}\right|$, are $\Sigma$-homomorphisms $\pi_{i}: \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}$.

Define the product of the empty family of $\Sigma$-algebras. When the projection $\pi_{i}$ is an isomorphism?

## Terms

Consider an $S$-sorted set $X$ of variables.

- terms $t \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|$ are built using variables $X$, constants and operations from $\Omega$ in the usual way: $\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|$ is the least set such that
$-X \subseteq\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|$
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $t_{1} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, t_{n} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s_{n}}$, $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s}$
- for any $\Sigma$-algebra $A$ and valuation $v: X \rightarrow|A|$, the value $t_{A}[v]$ of a term $t \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|$ in $A$ under $v$ is determined inductively:
$-x_{A}[v]=v_{s}(x)$, for $x \in X_{s}, s \in S$
$-\left(f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)\right)_{A}[v]=f_{A}\left(\left(t_{1}\right)_{A}[v], \ldots,\left(t_{n}\right)_{A}[v]\right)$, for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $t_{1} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, t_{n} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s_{n}}$

Above and in the following: assuming unambiguous "parsing" of terms!

## Term algebras

Consider an $S$-sorted set $X$ of variables.

- The term algebra $T_{\Sigma}(X)$ has the set of terms as the carrier and operations defined "syntactically":
- for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$ and $t_{1} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s_{1}}, \ldots, t_{n} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s_{n}}$, $f_{T_{\Sigma}(X)}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$.

Fact: For any $S$-sorted set $X$ of variables, $\Sigma$-algebra $A$ and valuation $v: X \rightarrow|A|$, there is a unique $\Sigma$-homomorphism $v^{\#}: T_{\Sigma}(X) \rightarrow A$ that extends $v$. Moreover, for $t \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|, v^{\#}(t)=t_{A}[v]$.


## One simple consequence

Fact: For any $S$-sorted sets $X, Y$ and $Z$ (of variables) and substitutions $\theta_{1}: X \rightarrow\left|T_{\Sigma}(Y)\right|$ and $\theta_{2}: Y \rightarrow\left|T_{\Sigma}(Z)\right|$

$$
\theta_{1}^{\#} ; \theta_{2}^{\#}=\left(\theta_{1} ; \theta_{2}^{\#}\right)^{\#}
$$



## Equations

- Equation:

$$
\forall X . t=t^{\prime}
$$

where:

- $X$ is a set of variables, and
$-t, t^{\prime} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s}$ are terms of a common sort.
- Satisfaction relation: $\Sigma$-algebra $A$ satisfies $\forall X . t=t^{\prime}$

$$
A \models \forall X . t=t^{\prime}
$$

when for all $v: X \rightarrow|A|, t_{A}[v]=t_{A}^{\prime}[v]$.

## Semantic entailment

$$
\Phi \models_{\Sigma} \varphi
$$

## $\Sigma$-equation $\varphi$ is a semantic consequence of a set of $\Sigma$-equations $\Phi$ <br> $$
\text { if } \varphi \text { holds in every } \Sigma \text {-algebra that satisfies } \Phi \text {. }
$$

## BTW:

- Models of a set of equations: $\operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)=\{A \in \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma) \mid A \models \Phi\}$
- Theory of a class of algebras: $\operatorname{Th}(\mathcal{C})=\{\varphi \mid \mathcal{C} \models \varphi\}$
- $\Phi \models \varphi \Longleftrightarrow \varphi \in \operatorname{Th}(\operatorname{Mod}(\Phi))$
- Mod and Th form a Galois connection


## Equational specifications

$$
\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle
$$

- signature $\Sigma$, to determine the static module interface
- axioms ( $\Sigma$-equations), to determine required module properties


## BUT:

Fact: A class of $\Sigma$-algebras is equationally definable iff it is closed under subalgebras, products and homomorphic images.

Equational specifications typically admit a lot of undesirable "modules"

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { spec NAIVENAT }=\text { sort } N a t \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\text { ops } 0: N a t
\end{aligned} \\
& \qquad \text { succ }: N a t \rightarrow N a t ; \\
& -_{-}+: N a t \times N a t \rightarrow N a t \\
& \text { axioms } \forall n: N a t \bullet n+0=n ; \\
& \forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+\operatorname{succ}(m)=\operatorname{succ}(n+m)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now:

$$
\text { NAIVENAT } \not \vDash \forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+m=m+n
$$

## How to fix this

- Other (stronger) logical systems: conditional equations, first-order logic, higher-order logics, other bells-and-whistles
- more about this elsewhere...


## Institutions!

- Constraints:
- reachability (and generation): "no junk"
- initiality (and freeness): "no junk" \& "no confusion"

Constraints can be thought of as special (higher-order) formulae.

There has been a population explosion among logical systems...

## Initial models

Fact: Every equational specification $\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle$ has an initial model: there exists a $\Sigma$-algebra $I \in \operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)$ such that for every $\Sigma$-algebra $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)$ there exists a unique $\Sigma$-homomorphism from $I$ to $M$.

Proof (idea):

- $I$ is the quotient of the algebra of ground $\Sigma$-terms by the congruence that glues together all ground terms $t, t^{\prime}$ such that $\Phi \models \forall \emptyset . t=t^{\prime}$.
- $I$ is the reachable subalgebra of the product of "all" (up to isomorphism) reachable algebras in $\operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)$.

BTW: This can be generalised to the existence of a free model of $\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle$ over any (many-sorted) set of data.

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { spec } \mathrm{NAT}_{\mathrm{AT}}=\mathbf{f r e e}\{\text { sort } N a t \\
& \text { ops 0: Nat; } \\
& \text { succ: Nat } \rightarrow \text { Nat; } \\
& \text { _ + _: Nat } \times \text { Nat } \rightarrow \text { Nat } \\
& \text { axioms } \forall n \text { :Nat } \bullet n+0=n \text {; } \\
& \forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+\operatorname{succ}(m)=\operatorname{succ}(n+m) \\
& \text { \} }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now:

$$
\text { NAT } \models \forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+m=m+n
$$

## Example'

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { spec } \mathrm{NAT}^{\prime}= & \text { free type } N a t::=0 \mid \operatorname{succ}(N a t) \\
& \text { op }+_{-}: N a t \times N a t \rightarrow N a t \\
& \text { axioms } \forall n: N a t \bullet n+0=n ; \\
& \forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+\operatorname{succ}(m)=\operatorname{succ}(n+m)
\end{aligned}
$$

NAT $\equiv \mathrm{NAT}^{\prime}$

## Another example

```
spec String=
    generated { sort String
        ops nil:String;
            a,\ldots,z: String;
            ^ _ _: String × String }->\mathrm{ String }
            axioms }\foralls:String \bullet s^ nil = s
                \forall:String \bullet nil ^}s=s
                \foralls,t,v:String \bullet s^ (t^v)= (s^t)^v
    }
```


## Equational calculus

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\frac{\forall X . t=t^{\prime}}{\forall X . t=t} & \frac{\forall X . t=t^{\prime} \quad \forall X . t^{\prime}=t^{\prime \prime}}{\forall X . t^{\prime}=t} \\
\frac{\forall X . t_{1}=t_{1}^{\prime} \ldots \quad \forall X . t_{n}=t_{n}^{\prime}}{\forall X . f\left(t_{1} \ldots t_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{1}^{\prime} \ldots t_{n}^{\prime}\right)} & \frac{\forall X . t=t^{\prime}}{\forall Y . t[\theta]=t^{\prime}[\theta]} \text { for } \theta: X \rightarrow\left|T_{\Sigma}(Y)\right|
\end{array}
$$

Mind the variables!

$$
a=b \text { does not follow from } a=f(x) \text { and } f(x)=b \text {, unless. } \ldots
$$

## Proof-theoretic entailment


$\Sigma$-equation $\varphi$ is a proof-theoretic consequence of a set of $\Sigma$-equations $\Phi$ if $\varphi$ can be derived from $\Phi$ by the rules.

How to justify this?

Semantics!

## Soundness \& completeness

Fact: The equational calculus is sound and complete:

$$
\Phi \models \varphi \Longleftrightarrow \Phi \vdash \varphi
$$

- soundness: "all that can be proved, is true" $(\Phi \models \varphi \Longleftarrow \Phi \vdash \varphi)$
- completeness: "all that is true, can be proved" $(\Phi \models \varphi \Longrightarrow \Phi \vdash \varphi)$
Proof (idea):
- soundness: easy!
- completeness: not so easy!


## Moving between signatures

$\underline{\underline{\text { Let } \Sigma=(S, \Omega) \text { and } \Sigma^{\prime}=\left(S^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}\right)}}$

$$
\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}
$$

- Signature morphism maps:
- sorts to sorts: $\sigma: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$
- operation names to operation names, preserving their profiles: $\sigma: \Omega_{w, s} \rightarrow \Omega_{\sigma(w), \sigma(s)}^{\prime}$, for $w \in S^{*}, s \in S$, that is: for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$, $\sigma(f): \sigma\left(s_{1}\right) \times \ldots \times \sigma\left(s_{n}\right) \rightarrow \sigma(s)$,
$\underline{\underline{\text { Let } \sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}}}$


## Translating syntax

- translation of variables: $X \mapsto X^{\prime}$, where $X_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\biguplus_{\sigma(s)=s^{\prime}} X_{s}$
- translation of terms: $\sigma:\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s} \rightarrow\left|T_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\sigma(s)}$, for $s \in S$
- translation of equations: $\sigma\left(\forall X . t_{1}=t_{2}\right)$ yields $\forall X^{\prime} . \sigma\left(t_{1}\right)=\sigma\left(t_{2}\right)$

- $\sigma$-reduct: $-\mid \sigma: \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { l g }}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{A l g}(\Sigma)$, where for $A^{\prime} \in \mathbf{A l g}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$
$-\left.\left|A^{\prime}\right|_{\sigma}\right|_{s}=\left|A^{\prime}\right|_{\sigma(s)}$, for $s \in S$
$-\left.f_{A^{\prime}}\right|_{\sigma}=\sigma(f)_{A^{\prime}}$ for $f \in \Omega$

Note the contravariancy!

## Satisfaction condition

Fact: For all signature morphisms $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}$-algebras $A^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma$-equations $\varphi$ :

$$
\left.A^{\prime}\right|_{\sigma} \models_{\Sigma} \varphi \Longleftrightarrow A^{\prime} \models_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \sigma(\varphi)
$$

Proof (idea): for $t \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|$ and $v: X \rightarrow\left|A^{\prime}\right|{ }_{\sigma}\left|, t_{A^{\prime}}\right|_{\sigma}[v]=\sigma(t)_{A^{\prime}}\left[v^{\prime}\right]$, where $v^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow\left|A^{\prime}\right|$ is given by $v_{\sigma(s)}^{\prime}(x)=v_{s}(x)$ for $s \in S, x \in X_{s}$.

TRUTH is preserved (at least) under:

- change of notation
- restriction/extension of irrelevant context


## Preservation of consequence

Given any signature morphism $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$, set of $\Sigma$-equations $\Phi$ and $\Sigma$-equation $\varphi$ :

$$
\Phi \models_{\Sigma} \varphi \Longrightarrow \sigma(\Phi) \models_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \sigma(\varphi)
$$

Moreover, if $\left.{ }_{-}\right|_{\sigma}: \operatorname{Alg}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Alg}(\Sigma)$ is surjective then:

$$
\Phi \models_{\Sigma} \varphi \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(\Phi) \models_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \sigma(\varphi)
$$

In general, the equivalence does not hold!

## Specification morphisms

Specification morphism:

$$
\sigma:\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\Sigma^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

is a signature morphism $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$ such that for all $M^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Alg}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\left.M^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow M^{\prime}\right|_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)
$$

$$
\text { Then }-\left.\right|_{\sigma}: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)
$$

Fact: A signature morphism $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$ is a specification morphism
$\sigma:\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\Sigma^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right\rangle$ if and only if $\Phi^{\prime} \models \sigma(\Phi)$.

## Conservativity

A specification morphism:

$$
\sigma:\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\Sigma^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

is conservative if for all $\Sigma$-equations $\varphi: \Phi^{\prime} \models_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \sigma(\varphi) \Longrightarrow \Phi \models_{\Sigma} \varphi$

BTW: for all specification morphisms

$$
\Phi \models_{\Sigma} \varphi \Longrightarrow \Phi^{\prime} \models_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \sigma(\varphi)
$$

A specification morphism $\sigma:\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\Sigma^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right\rangle$ admits model expansion if for each $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(\Phi)$ there exists $M^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left.M^{\prime}\right|_{\sigma}=M$

$$
\text { (i.e., }-\mid \sigma: \operatorname{Mod}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\Phi) \text { is surjective). }
$$

Fact: If $\sigma:\langle\Sigma, \Phi\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\Sigma^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right\rangle$ admits model expansion then it is conservative.
In general, the equivalence does not hold!

## More general signature morphisms

$\underline{\underline{\text { Let } \Sigma=(S, \Omega) \text { and } \Sigma^{\prime}=\left(S^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}\right)}}$

$$
\delta: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}
$$

- Derived signature morphism maps sorts to sorts: $\delta: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$, and operation names to terms, preserving their profiles: for $f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$,

$$
\delta(f) \in\left|T_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left\{x_{1}: \delta\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n}: \delta\left(s_{n}\right)\right\}\right)\right|_{\delta(s)}
$$

- Translation of syntax, reducts of algebras, satisfaction condition, and many other notions and results: similarly as before.


## Partial algebras

- Algebraic signature $\Sigma$ : as before
- Partial $\mathrm{\Sigma}$-algebra:

$$
A=\left(|A|,\left\langle f_{A}\right\rangle_{f \in \Omega}\right)
$$

as before, but operations $f_{A}:|A|_{s_{1}} \times \ldots \times|A|_{s_{n}} \rightharpoonup|A|_{s}$, for
$f: s_{1} \times \ldots \times s_{n} \rightarrow s$, may now be partial functions.
BTW: Constants may be undefined as well.

- PAlg $(\Sigma)$ stands for the class of all partial $\Sigma$-algebras.

Fix a signature $\Sigma=(S, \Omega)$ for a while.

## Few further notions

- subalgebra $A_{\text {sub }} \subseteq A$ : given by subset $\left|A_{\text {sub }}\right| \subseteq|A|$ closed under the operations; (BTW: at least two other natural notions are possible)
- homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow B$ : map $h:|A| \rightarrow|B|$ that preserves definedness and results of operations; it is strong if in addition it reflects definedness of operations; (strong) homomorphisms are closed under composition; (BTW: very interesting alternative: partial map $h:|A| \rightharpoonup|B|$ that preserves results of operations)
- congruence $\equiv$ on $A$ : equivalence $\equiv \subseteq|A| \times|A|$ closed under the operations whenever they are defined; it is strong if in addition it reflects definedness of operations; (strong) congruences are kernels of (strong) homomorphisms;
- quotient algebra $A / \equiv$ : built in the natural way on the equivalence classes of $\equiv$; the natural homomorphism from $A$ to $A / \equiv$ is strong if the congruence is strong.


## Formulae

(Strong) equation:

$$
\forall X . t \stackrel{s}{=} t^{\prime}
$$

as before

Definedness formula:

$$
\forall X . \operatorname{def} t
$$

where $X$ is a set of variables, and $t \in$ $\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s}$ is a term

Satisfaction relation
partial $\Sigma$-algebra $A$ satisfies $\forall X . t \stackrel{s}{=} t^{\prime}$

$$
A \models \forall X . t \stackrel{s}{=} t^{\prime}
$$

when for all $v: X \rightarrow|A|, t_{A}[v]$ is defined iff $t_{A}^{\prime}[v]$ is defined, and then $t_{A}[v]=$ $t_{A}^{\prime}[v]$
partial $\Sigma$-algebra $A$ satisfies $\forall X$.def $t$

$$
A \models \forall X . \operatorname{def} t
$$

when for all $v: X \rightarrow|A|, t_{A}[v]$ is defined

## An alternative

- (Existence) equation:

$$
\forall X . t \stackrel{e}{=} t^{\prime}
$$

where:

- $X$ is a set of variables, and
$-t, t^{\prime} \in\left|T_{\Sigma}(X)\right|_{s}$ are terms of a common sort.
- Satisfaction relation: $\Sigma$-algebra $A$ satisfies $\forall X . t \stackrel{e}{=} t^{\prime}$

$$
A \models \forall X . t \stackrel{e}{=} t^{\prime}
$$

when for all $v: X \rightarrow|A|, t_{A}[v]=t_{A}^{\prime}[v]-$ both sides are defined and equal. BTW:

- $\forall X . t \stackrel{e}{=} t^{\prime}$ iff $\forall X .\left(t \stackrel{s}{=} t^{\prime} \wedge\right.$ def $\left.t\right)$
- $\forall X . t \stackrel{s}{=} t^{\prime}$ iff $\forall X$. (def $\left.t \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{def} t^{\prime}\right) \wedge\left(\operatorname{def} t \Longrightarrow t \stackrel{e}{=} t^{\prime}\right)$


## Further notions and results

To introduce and/or check:

- partial equational specifications (trivial)
- characterization of definable classes of partial algebras (difficult!)
- existence of initial models for partial equational specifications (non-trivial for existence equations; difficult for strong equations and definedness formulae)
- proof systems for partial equational logic (ditto)
- signature morphisms, translation of formulae, reducts of partial algebras, satisfaction condition; specification morphisms, conservativity, etc. (easy)
- even more general signature morphisms: $\delta: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}$ maps sort names to sort names, and operation names $f: s_{1} \times \ldots s_{n} \rightarrow s$ to sequences $\left\langle\varphi_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{i \geq 0}$, where $\varphi_{i}$ is a $\Sigma^{\prime}$-formula and $t_{i}$ is a $\Sigma^{\prime}$-term of sort $\delta(s)$, both with variables among $x_{1}: \delta\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n}: \delta\left(s_{n}\right)$; syntax does not quite translate, but reducts are well defined.


## Example

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { spec NATPRED }=\text { free }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { sort } \\
\text { ops } 0: N a t ;
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { succ: Nat } \rightarrow \text { Nat; } \\
-+_{-}: N a t \times N a t \rightarrow N a t \\
p r e d: N a t \rightarrow ? N a t
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{r}
\text { axioms } \forall n: N a t \bullet n+0=n ; \\
\forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+\operatorname{succ}(m)=\operatorname{succ}(n+m) \\
\forall n: N a t \bullet \operatorname{pred}(\operatorname{succ}(n)) \stackrel{s}{=} n ; \\
\}
\end{array}
$$

## Example'

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { spec } \text { NATPRED' }^{\prime}= & \text { free type } N a t::=0 \mid \operatorname{succ}(\text { pred }: ? N a t) \\
& \text { op }+_{-}: N a t \times N a t \rightarrow N a t \\
& \text { axioms } \forall n: N a t \bullet n+0=n ; \\
& \forall n, m: N a t \bullet n+\operatorname{succ}(m)=\operatorname{succ}(n+m)
\end{aligned}
$$

NatPred $\equiv$ NatPred ${ }^{\prime}$

