Linear algebra, WNE, 2018/2019 meeting 24. – homework solutions

10 January 2019

Group 8:00

1. Find a standard form of the linear programming problem $5a + 6b - 3c \rightarrow max$ with constraints a + 2b - c = 19,

$$a+c \geqslant 0$$
,

$$a+c\geqslant 0$$
,

$$b \geqslant 0, c \geqslant 0.$$

Solution:

$$-5a^{+} + 5a^{-} - 6b + 3c \rightarrow \min$$

$$a^+ - a^- + 2b - c = 19,$$

$$a^{+} - a^{-} + c - d = 0,$$

$$a^+, a^-, b, c, d \ge 0.$$

2. For the following system of equations find all basic solutions

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 2\\ 2x_1 + x_2 + 4x_3 + x_4 = 1\\ 3x_1 - x_2 + 6x_3 - x_4 = 0 \end{cases}$$

The system is inconsistent, so there are no basic solutions.

3. Solve the following linear programming problem using simplex method

$$-x_1 - 2x_2 \rightarrow min$$
, with constraints:

$$4x_1 + 4x_2 \leqslant 12,$$

$$x_1 \leqslant 2, x_2 \leqslant 2,$$

$$x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0.$$

Solution: the standard form is $-x_1 - 2x_2 \rightarrow \min$, with constraints: $4x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 = 12$,

$$x_1 + x_4 = 2,$$

$$x_2 + x_5 = 2,$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \geqslant 0.$$

We write out the simplex array (the last three variables are basic):

Vertex (0,0,12,2,2). We get two improving edges and choose the one from the second column. Its bounds are $\mathcal{F} = \{3, 2\}$, So it of length 2 and x_5 ceases to be basis in favour of x_2 :

1

Vertex (0, 2, 4, 2, 0). We have only one improving edge, related to the second column. Its bounds are $\mathcal{F} = \{1, 2\}$, so 1 and x_4 ceases to be basic, and x_1 becomes a basic variable

Vertex (1, 2, 1, 0, 0) with cost value -5 is optimal because we have only aggravating edges. It translates to solution (1, 2) in the original problem.

Group 9:45

1. Find a standard form $5a - 6b - 3c \rightarrow max$ with constraints

$$a + 2b - c = 19,$$

$$a + c \leq 0$$
,

$$b \geqslant 0, c \geqslant 0.$$

Solution:

$$-5a^{+} + 5a^{-} + 6b - 3c \rightarrow \min$$

$$a^+ - a^- + 2b - c = 19$$
,

$$a^+ - a^- + c + d = 0,$$

$$a^+, a^-, b, c, d \ge 0.$$

2. Find all the basic solutions of the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + 5x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Solution:

In the echelon form we get

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -5 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -7 & -3 & 3
\end{array}\right]$$

Next, we calculate basic solutions

- basic variables x_1, x_2, x_3 , non-basic $x_4 = 0$, we get $(\frac{5}{7}, -\frac{1}{7}, -\frac{3}{7}, 0)$ infeasible basic solution,
- basic variables x_1, x_2, x_4 , non-basic $x_3 = 0$, we get (1, 0, 0, -1) infeasible basic solution,
- basic variables x_1, x_3, x_4 , non-basic $x_2 = 0$, we get (1, 0, 0, -1) infeasible basic solution,
- basic variables x_2, x_3, x_4 , non-basic $x_1 = 0$, we get $\left(0, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{1}{6}, -\frac{25}{18}\right)$ infeasible basic solution,

So we have 3 basic solutions all of which are infeasible $(\frac{5}{7}, -\frac{1}{7}, -\frac{3}{7}, 0), (1, 0, 0, -1), (0, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{1}{6}, -\frac{25}{18}).$

3. Solve the following linear programming problem using the simplex method:

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 \rightarrow max$$
, with constraints:

$$8x_1 + 4x_2 \le 12$$
,

$$2x_1 \leqslant 2, x_2 \leqslant 2,$$

$$x_1 \geqslant 0, x_2 \geqslant 0.$$

Solution

the standard form: $-2x_1 - 2x_2 \rightarrow \min$, with constraints: $8x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 = 12$,

$$2x_1 + x_4 = 2,$$

$$x_2 + x_5 = 2,$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \geqslant 0.$$

Simplex array (the three last variables are basic):

2

Vertex (0,0,12,2,2). Thus we have two improving edges, and we choose the one from the second column. The bound are $\mathcal{F} = \{3,2\}$, so it has length 2 and x_5 ceases to be basic, x_2 is a new basic variable:

Vertex (0, 2, 4, 2, 0). We have only one improving edge, the one in the first column. The bounds are $\mathcal{F} = \{1, 2\}$, so 1 and x_4 is dropped from the basic variables set. x_1 is basic now:

Vertex $(\frac{1}{2}, 2, 1, 0, 0)$ with cost value -5, is optimal because all the edges are aggravating This vertex translates to solution $(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ of the original problem.